# Excessive Fines
# Case Outline

*Prepared by Stefan D. Cassella*
*Asset Forfeiture Law, LLC*
www.assetforfeiturelaw.us

## Table of Contents

**I. Criminal and Civil Forfeitures Are Limited by the Excessive Fines Clause of the Eighth Amendment ..... 1**
A. The test is whether the forfeiture is grossly disproportional to the gravity of the offense: ..... 1
B. Courts applying *Bajakajian* look to three or four factors: ..... 1
1. Courts differ in their listing of the *Bajakajian* factors: ..... 1
2. It is unclear if financial hardship is a factor: ..... 2
C. Remedy for Eighth Amendment violation: ..... 2
D. Congressional intent is entitled to deference in the Eighth Amendment analysis: ..... 3
E. Application of the Eighth Amendment to corporations: ..... 4
F. Application of the Eighth Amendment to the states: ..... 4
**II. *Bajakajian* Applies to Civil Forfeiture ..... 4**
A. The application of the Eighth Amendment to civil forfeitures: ..... 4
1. *Bajakajian* made the Eighth Amendment applicable to all criminal forfeitures but only to "punitive" civil forfeitures: ..... 4
2. But in enacting § 983(g), Congress made the Eighth Amendment applicable to all civil forfeitures except those exempted by § 983(i): ..... 5
B. But Customs law violations may fall outside the scope of the Eighth Amendment: ..... 5
C. Forfeiture of contraband is not subject to an Eighth Amendment analysis: ..... 6
**III. *Bajakajian* Applies to Criminal Forfeiture Beyond Drugs and Money Laundering ..... 6**
**IV. Corpus Delicti Property / Instrumentalities / Substitute Assets ..... 6**
**V. CTR, CMIR, Form 8300 and FBAR Cases ..... 7**
A. Failure to files CTR, CMIR, Form 8300 or FBAR: ..... 7
B. Structuring cases: ..... 9
1. Structuring is a more serious offense than failing to file a CTR or CMIR: ..... 9
2. Persons engaged in structuring fit within the class of persons at whom the statute was aimed: ..... 11
3. Guidelines fines versus maximum statutory fine: ..... 11
4. Structuring and reporting violations cause harm: ..... 12
5. There is no distinction for Eighth Amendment purposes between structuring out and structuring in: ..... 13

Revised 7/7/2025


© Asset Forfeiture Law, LLC

C. If there is a nexus to another offense, the forfeiture will likely be greater: ..... 13
D. Comparing the forfeiture to the maximum criminal penalty: ..... 14
**VI. Bulk Cash Smuggling ..... 14**
A. The bulk cash smuggling statute, 31 U.S.C. § 5332, was enacted in response to *Bajakajian*; it has its own criminal and civil forfeiture provisions in subsections (b) and (c). ..... 14
B. Forfeiture under § 5332 may include the entire amount involved in the bulk cash smuggling offense ..... 15
C. Some courts accept that forfeitures under § 5332 may be greater than forfeitures for CMIR violations but still mitigate the forfeiture to some extent: ..... 15
D. Applying the "*Bajakajian* factors" to forfeiture under § 5332: ..... 16
1. Class of persons at whom the statute was directed: ..... 16
2. Nexus to another offense: ..... 16
3. Comparison to the maximum penalty: ..... 16
4. Nature of the forfeited property: ..... 17
5. The harm caused by the offense: ..... 17
**VII. Money Laundering Cases ..... 17**
A. For Eighth Amendment purposes, money laundering is considered a serious offense: ..... 17
B. Laundered proceeds: ..... 18
C. Other property involved: ..... 19
D. Commingled funds forfeited under a facilitation or subject matter theory: ..... 20
E. Sting cases: ..... 21
F. Application of the Eighth Amendment in cases where the defendant did not retain the money for himself: ..... 21
G. § 1960 cases: ..... 22
H. Comparison to maximum fine: ..... 22
**VIII. Proceeds Cases ..... 22**
A. The Eighth Amendment does not apply to the forfeiture of proceeds, or if it does, the forfeiture is never "grossly disproportional to the gravity of the offense": ..... 22
1. In general: ..... 22
2. Depriving the defendant of his livelihood: ..... 27
3. Measuring the gravity of the offense by the loss to the victim: ..... 29
B. Gross receipts and Proceeds of Uncharged Conduct ..... 30
1. The forfeiture of gross receipts versus net proceeds does not violate the Eighth Amendment: ..... 30
2. The Government can cut off any Eighth Amendment challenge by seeking less than the gross receipts of the offense: ..... 30
3. Forfeiting the proceeds of uncharged conduct that is part of the same scheme does not violate the Eighth Amendment. ..... 31
C. Pre-*Bajakajian*, all courts held that the forfeiture of proceeds is never excessive: ..... 31


© Asset Forfeiture Law, LLC

D. The nature of the property and the personal circumstances of the property owner: ..... 31
1. In general, the impact of the forfeiture on the defendant's personal circumstances should be irrelevant: ..... 31
2. But some courts look to the impact of a forfeiture order on the defendant's future livelihood; ..... 33
3. The impact on third parties: ..... 33
E. Joint and several liability: ..... 34
1. Most courts hold either that the forfeiture of proceeds can never be excessive, even in joint and several liability cases, or that on the facts, the forfeiture was nevertheless not disproportional. ..... 34
2. But holding defendants jointly and severally liable for the forfeiture of proceeds could be disproportional in some instances, and thus requires an Eighth Amendment analysis in every case. ..... 35
F. Forfeiture and restitution: ..... 36
G. Substitute assets: ..... 37
H. Double counting: ..... 38
**IX. Facilitating Property ..... 38**
A. Applying the *Bajakajian* factors to facilitating property: ..... 38
1. Comparing the value of the property to the maximum fine and other criminal penalties: 38
B. Other factors: ..... 43
1. Some courts incorporate various other factors into the analysis, such as the loss or harm to the victim, the value of drugs sold, the nexus of the property to the offense, or the duration and nature of the offense: ..... 44
2. Nature of the offense / harm caused: ..... 45
3. Nexus between the property and the offense: ..... 47
4. What period of incarceration was imposed is also a factor: ..... 48
5. Eleventh Circuit suggests that *Bajakajian* is limited to reporting offenses: ..... 48
6. Effect of the legalization of marijuana: ..... 48
7. Cumulative effect of forfeiture multiple items: ..... 48
8. Property that was used only in part to facilitate the crime: ..... 49
C. Facilitating property/third parties: ..... 49
1. Some courts hold that the culpability of the claimant is irrelevant; the forfeiture is measured against the gravity of the crime, not the gravity of the claimant's role in the crime: ..... 49
2. But others consider the culpability of the claimant to be one of the factors in the Eighth Amendment analysis: ..... 50
3. Even if the third party's culpability is taken into account, the forfeiture of the third party's interest will not be excessive if the third party played more than a minimal role in the offense: ..... 50


© Asset Forfeiture Law, LLC

4. The culpability of the third party is always irrelevant in a criminal forfeiture case: ..... 51
D. Effect of other penalties or forfeitures: ..... 51
**X. RICO Cases ..... 51**
**XI. Other Offenses ..... 52**
**XII. Applying the Excessive Fines Analysis in Other Cases ..... 53**
**XIII. Pre-*Bajakajian* Cases Applying *Austin* to Facilitating Property ..... 53**
**XIV. Property That Changes in Value ..... 57**
A. Forfeiture does not become excessive because property appreciates in value: ..... 57
B. Determining the value of the property: ..... 57
**XV. Eighth Amendment Procedure ..... 58**
A. Standing to raise Eighth Amendment claim: ..... 58
B. Burden of proof: ..... 59
1. In civil cases, § 983(g)(3) places the burden on the claimant to establish that the forfeiture is grossly disproportional to the gravity of the offense giving rise to the forfeiture: ..... 59
2. The same rule applies in criminal cases: ..... 59
C. The district court is required to make findings at a hearing: ..... 61
D. Eighth Amendment challenge may not be raised pretrial or during the trial: ..... 61
1. In general, an Eighth Amendment challenge may only be raised after the forfeitability of the property has been established. ..... 61
2. The claimant may not raise an Eighth Amendment challenge in a motion to dismiss a civil forfeiture complaint: ..... 63
E. Eighth Amendment challenge to seizure is premature: ..... 64
E. In criminal cases, the Eighth Amendment issue is raised at sentencing: ..... 64
F. In civil cases, Claimant must raise Eighth Amendment claim in his answer to the complaint and in response to motion for summary judgment: ..... 65
G. Claimant cannot seek judicial review of administrative forfeiture on Eighth Amendment grounds: 65
H. Motion for summary judgment based on Eighth Amendment violation: ..... 65
1. Claimant's motion for summary judgment: ..... 65
2. Government's motion for summary judgment: ..... 66
I. Criminal defendant may not use § 2255 to challenge civil forfeiture on Eighth Amendment grounds: ..... 66
J. Eighth Amendment issue is for the court, not the jury: ..... 66
K. Court must mitigate forfeiture to avoid Eighth Amendment violation: ..... 67
L. Taking other penalties into account: ..... 67
M. Other procedural issues: ..... 68
1. Collateral estoppel: ..... 68
2. Allegations in the complaint: ..... 68


© Asset Forfeiture Law, LLC

3. Dismissal of claim on procedural grounds does not implicate the Eighth Amendment: 68
4. Waiver of Eighth Amendment issues: 68
N. CMIR cases: 68
O. Appeals: 69
**XVI. Retroactive Application of *Bajakajian* 69**
A. *Bajakajian* does not apply retroactively to closed civil forfeiture cases: 69
B. Rule 60(b) motions: 69
C. Petition for *coram nobis*: 69
**XVII. Discovery on Eighth Amendment Issue 69**


© Asset Forfeiture Law, LLC